|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Changelog** | | | | |
| **Project:** Team Decided Raft Consensus | | | | |
| **Please note, hyperlinks in Section are linked to nearest subheading** | | | | |
| **ID** | **Section** | **Type** | **Description** | **Notes/Justification** |
|  | Project Vision,  User Environment,  Example Scenario 1 | Clarity | Specifying .NET core server to drive home target market | Clarity |
|  | Project Vision,  User Environment,  Example Scenario 1 | Clarity | Directly specifying how example scenario 1 would make use of this library | Clarity |
|  | Project Vision,  User Environment,  Example Scenario 2 | Clarity | Rephrase sentence for clarity | Clarity |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Needs and Features (Functional requirements) | Clarity | Added hyperlinks to Prototypes to our Nuget package | Clarity |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Needs and Features (Functional requirements) | Project schedule update | Moved Log Compaction requirement to Version 1.0 instead of Prototype | We think this is still an important feature, however it does not fall on the critical use case which is the requirement of Prototype milestone. This will be implemented later. |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Needs and Features (Functional requirements) | Scope management | Dropping Warm Node feature | We don’t believe this is an important feature to the product, perhaps it may be included later in an extended life cycle of the library, however we won’t be adding it for this project. The use case just isn’t that critical. |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Needs and Features (Functional requirements) | Scope management | Changing Ability to Pick Ideal Leader to “Ability to attempt to designate a node to run the UAS” | Picking an ideal leader is very hard to implement, and heavily dependant on how each UAS defines fitness. We think it’s better to leave that scope to the UAS developers, however we then need to add the ability to attempt to make a node the leader of the cluster. They can use this on their fittest server to start/takeback UAS. |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Needs and Features (Non-functional requirements) | Clarity | Added hyperlinks to Prototypes to our Nuget package | Clarity |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Needs and Features (Non-functional requirements) | Project schedule update | Changing the release of the Nuget package to Prototype from Final | Part of the requirements for the prototype were “deployed in the intended production environment”, this change directly ticks that requirement |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Needs and Features (Non-functional requirements) | Scope management | Removing Network Agnostic option from compatibility non-functional requirement | We don’t believe this is an important feature to the product, perhaps it may be included later in an extended life cycle of the library, however we won’t be adding it for this project. The use case just isn’t that critical. Although it’s a relatively simple add, to confidently consider it working we’d need to implement and tests a second networking stack using it. |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Justification | Clarity | Adding the caveat to using the library in a 30-60 tick game of needing performance analysis to be run first | Although, calculations of round trip time conditions can show that this is possible, we’re aware the algorithm is currently running too slowly and needs performance analysis to be able to function at this level. |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Justification | Clarity | Rewriting to paragraph about justifying removing Ability To Pick Ideal Leader feature | As stated above, implementing the feature is unreasonably cumbersome and UAS specific. So we’ll be leaving this up to, and enabling the ability for, the people to implement the ideal leader selection themselves. |
|  | Project Vision,  Product Overview,  Justification | Scope management | Deleted paragraph justifying Warm Nodes | As stated above, we don’t believe this feature is so important |
|  | Requirement model,  Use Case Model | Update | Remove “Send Message” and “Receive Message” use cases | This was part of the network agnostic feature we were going to implement, however as stated above we’ve removed this feature as it’s been deemed not important enough to implement |
|  | Requirement model,  Use Case Model | Update | Remove “Request Fitness” and “Respond to Fitness” use cases | This was removed as we’ve changed from implementing the design of fitness calculation ourselves, and offloaded that ability to the UAS developer through enabling a “Attempt to Run UAS” use case for them to use when doing their own fitness algorithms if they’d like. |
|  | Requirement model,  Use Case Model | Update | Added “Attempt To Run UAS” use case | We added this use case to allow developers to implement their own fitness algorithms to decide which node should run the UAS |
|  | Requirement model,  Use Case Model | Update | Remove ”Run Cluster” use case | We were previously viewing this from a perspective that a node needs to make a cluster for it to exist for nodes to join. We’ve now evolved our view to now being that each node simply tries to join the cluster it’s been instructed to, simply assuming it exists. And when many nodes talk to each other, and they’re all assuming it exists, they start the cluster. This means developers only need to use the one “Join cluster” use case now |
|  | Requirement model,  Use Case Model | Update | Remove “Confirm Identity” use case | Our initial plan was to have identities based on certificates, meaning the security on the communication was based on the UAS Confirming the identity of any joining nodes. However, the implementation was more difficult than it was worth, so we’ve now changed to the security being based on zero knowledge password proofs (which is using hashes with nonce’s to conduct a two way challenge/response) |
|  | Requirement model,  Use Case Model | Update | Changed “Start Server” and “Stop Server” use case names to “Start UAS” and “Stop UAS” | Change terminology to better describe the action required and implemented. |
|  | Requirement model,  Use Case Model | Update | Changed “Read Entry Value” use case to “Read Log” | Change terminology to better describe the action required and implemented |
|  | Requirement model,  Short Use Case Descriptions | Update | Remove “Send Message” and “Receive Message” use cases | Additional changes required in Short Use Case Descriptions from changes above made to Use Case Model |
|  | Requirement model,  Short Use Case Descriptions | Update | Remove “Request Fitness” and “Respond to Fitness” use cases | Additional changes required in Short Use Case Descriptions from changes above made to Use Case Model |
|  | Requirement model,  Short Use Case Descriptions | Update | Remove ”Run Cluster” use case | Additional changes required in Short Use Case Descriptions from changes above made to Use Case Model |
|  | Requirement model,  Short Use Case Descriptions | Update | Added “Attempt To Run UAS” use case | Additional changes required in Short Use Case Descriptions from changes above made to Use Case Model |
|  | Requirement model,  Short Use Case Descriptions | Update | Remove “Confirm Identity” use case | Additional changes required in Short Use Case Descriptions from changes above made to Use Case Model |
|  | Requirement model,  Short Use Case Descriptions | Update | Changed “Start Server” and “Stop Server” use case names to “Start UAS” and “Stop UAS” | Additional changes required in Short Use Case Descriptions from changes above made to Use Case Model |
|  | Requirement model,  Short Use Case Descriptions | Update | Changed “Read Entry Value” use case to “Read Log” | Additional changes required in Short Use Case Descriptions from changes above made to Use Case Model |
|  | Requirement model,  Domain model | Update | Updated Domain model to include the current implemented consensus API | Changes were required as we’d updated the underlying API, so this needed to be reflected in the Domain Model |
|  | Requirement model,  Discussion regarding CCRD | Reflection | Added reflection paragraph on how we have cover CCRD | Clarity |
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